

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bec 1450 Absonction, Virginia 22313-1450 (www.uspid.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOC'KET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/068,838	02/11/2002	Takashi Tanaka	219418US3	9017
22850	7590 10/31/2003		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			JOLLEY, KIRSTEN	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1762	

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

er a f						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/068,838	TANAKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kirsten C Jolley	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 S	September 2003 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under businessition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> are subject to restriction and/or e Application Papers	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No				
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application for a	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	, ,					
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Restriction/Election

- 1. The reply filed on September 30, 2003 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): an election of species was not provided. The response to the restriction/election filed on September 30, 2003 provides an election (Group I, claims 1-8) to the restriction, however it does not address the election of species requirement. As set forth below, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: gyrating force generation means provided by a spiral groove formed on the inner wall of the hole of said nozzle as shown in Figures 6A-6C and 6E, and gyrating force generation means provided by a plurality of fins provided in the hole of the nozzle as shown in Figure 6D.
- 2. It is noted that the claim numbers of Group III were incorrectly listed as claims 10-11 and should have been claims 11-12. Therefore, the restriction requirement is being re-sent. The applicant is not bound to the Group elected in the response of September 30, 2003.

Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-8, drawn to an apparatus for forming a coating film on a substrate, classified in class 118, subclass 52.

Art Unit: 1762

- II. Claims 9-10, drawn to a method for forming a coating film on a substrate using a gyrating force in the same direction as that of the rotating substrate, classified in class 427, subclass 240.
- III. Claims 11-12, drawn to a method for forming a coating film on a substrate using a gyrating force in the opposite direction as that of the rotating substrate, classified in class 427, subclass 240.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 4. Inventions II and III, and I, are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another materially different process, for example the apparatus can be used to supply a cleaning liquid or an etchant to the surface of the substrate.
- 5. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions cannot be used together since Invention II drops coating liquid given a gyrating force in the same direction as that of the rotating substrate, and Invention III drops coating liquid given a gyrating force in the opposite direction as that of the rotating substrate. Inventions II and III require different apparatus structures and therefore have different modes of operation and function and produce different effects.

Art Unit: 1762

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: gyrating force generation means provided by a spiral groove formed on the inner wall of the hole of said nozzle as shown in Figures 6A-6C and 6E, and gyrating force generation means provided by a plurality of fins provided in the hole of the nozzle as shown in Figure 6D.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 9-12 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Art Unit: 1762

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

8. This restriction requirement is being mailed due to the complexity of the requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kirsten C Jolley whose telephone number is 703-306-5461 before December 10, 2003, or 571-272-1421 after December 10, 2003. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P Beck can be reached on 703-308-2333 before December 10, 2003, or 571-272-1415 after December 10, 2003. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1762

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1193.

Kirsten C Jolley
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1762

Page 6

kcj